Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,261	IMAI, TAKASHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

All Participants:

(1) *Qing-Yuan Wu*.

(2) *Gary M. Jacobs*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *4/30/08 & 5/6/08*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, 14, 18 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested incorporating dependent claims in to independent claims for allowability consideration.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)